



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A

THE MINMAX THEOREM FOR U.S.C.-L.S.C. PAYOFF FUNCTIONS

by

Jean François Mertens

Technical Report No. 418 September 1983

Accession For				
RTIS	GRALI		4	
DTIC :	TAB			
Unannounced				
Justi	Cicat1	on		_
Ву	2	<i>t,</i>		_
Distr	ibutio	n/		
Ava1	labili	ty Co	odes	
	Avail	and/	or	
Nis t	Spec	ial		
A-1				

PREPARED UNDER

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION GRANTS SES 8201373

AND THE

CENTER FOR RESEARCH ON ORGANIZATIONAL EFFICIENCY STANFORD UNIVERSITY CONTRACT ONR-NO0014-79-C-0685

THE ECONOMICS SERIES

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL STUDIES IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES
Fourth Floor, Encina Hall
Stanford University
Stanford, California
94305

by

Jean-François Mertens **

1. Introduction

Our aim is to get a "general minimax theorem" whose assumptions and conclusions are phrased only in terms of the data of the problem, i.e. the pair of pure strategy sets S and T and the payoff function on $S \times T$. For the assumptions, this means that we want to avoid any assumption of the type "there exists a topology (or a measurable structure) on S and (or) T such that..." For the conclusions, we are led to require that players have ε -optimal strategies with finite support, both because those are the easiest to describe in intrinsic terms, and because in any game where the value would not exist in strategies with finite support, all known general minmax theorems implicitly select as "value" either the sup inf or the inf sup by in effect restricting either player I or player II arbitrarily to strategies with finite support - so that the resulting "value" is completely arbitrary and misleading.

Those points are discussed in more detail in Section 3, after having proved a first theorem in Section 2.

^{*}This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant SES 8201373 and in part by the Office of Naval Research Contract ONR-NO0014-79-C-0685 at the Institute for Mathematical Studies in the Social Sciences, Stanford University.

^{***}CORE, 34 Voie du Roman Pays, B-1348 Louvain La Neuve, Belgium

2. A First Theorem

Minmax Theorem: A two person zero sum game with compact strategy spaces has a value and each player has ε -optimal strategies with finite support if each player's payoff is, for any fixed strategy of his opponent, an uppersemicontinuous (u.s.c.) function of his strategy, and is bounded either from above or from below.

[In this Section 2, "compact" means compact and Hausdorff.]

<u>Proof:</u> Let f denote the first player's payoff function, S his strategy space, and T his opponent's strategy space. For any pair of mixed strategies σ and τ , we will denote by $f(\sigma,\tau)$ the expectation of f under the corresponding product measure, whenever this expectation is unambiguously defined (via Fubini's theorem).

A function f on a compact space K is u.s.c. if and only if f: $K \to R \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\forall \alpha \in R$, $\{x \mid f(x) \ge \alpha\}$ is closed, or equivalently, if and only if f is the pointwise limit of a decreasing net of continuous real valued functions on K. f is lowersemicontinous (1.s.c.) if and only if -f is u.s.c.. Remark that if f is l.s.c., then f is bounded from below, so each player guarantees himself a finite payoff with any pure strategy.

The proof starts by proving particular cases of the statement; the first of them is standard and is just recalled for the reader's convenience.

(A) Case where S or T -say T- is finite (Von Neumann).

Proof: Let $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ and let C denote the closed convex set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \sigma \text{ probability on } S \text{ (with } \# \operatorname{Supp}(\sigma) \leq n \text{ (Caratheodory)}): \forall i, \int f(s,t_i) d\sigma(s) \geq x_i \}.$ Let $v = \max \min x_i$; then $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\sum_{x \in C} f(s) = \sum_{x \in C} f(s$

(B) <u>Corollary</u>: Let T be an arbitrary set, f(s,t) u.s.c. on S for each $t \in T$. Then $\max_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} f(\sigma,\tau) = \inf_{\tau} \max_{\sigma} f(\sigma,\tau)$, where σ ranges over all regular Borel probabilities on S and τ over all probabilities with finite support on T.

<u>Proof:</u> For any finite subset \tilde{T} of T, let $\sum_{\epsilon,\tilde{T}}$ denote the set of σ 's satisfying $\inf_{t\in \tilde{T}} f(\sigma,t) \geq \inf_{\tilde{T}} \max_{0} f(\sigma,\tau) - \epsilon$. By (A), the $\sum_{\epsilon,\tilde{T}}$ form a decreasing net of non-empty compact sets, so have a non-empty intersection. Any σ_0 in the intersection yields $\inf_{\tilde{T}} f(\sigma_0,\tau) = \inf_{\tilde{T}} \max_{0} f(\sigma,\tau)$.

- (C) Case where one of the strategy spaces -say T- is metrisable.
- (i) Claim: f is Borel measurable.

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \ldots$ be a dense sequence of continuous functions on T. Denote by S_i the closed set $\{s \mid V \mid t \in T, f(s,t) \geq \phi_i(t)\}$, and let $\psi_i(s,t) = \phi_i(t)$ for $s \in S_i$, = $-\infty$ otherwise. Obviously ψ_i is Borel measurable, and therefore also $f = \sup_i \psi_i$.

(ii) Denote by \sum (resp. \overline{T}) the space of regular Borel probabilities on S (resp. T), and by \sum_f (resp. \overline{T}_f) the probabilities with finite support.

f being Borel measurable and bounded either from above or from below, $f(\sigma,\tau)$ is well defined on $\sum \times \overline{T}$.

By (B), there exist $\bar{v} \in R$ and $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ such that

$$f(\sigma_0,t) \ge \overline{v} = \inf_{\tau \in \overline{I}_{\epsilon}} \sup_{\sigma \in \overline{I}_{\epsilon}} f(\sigma,\tau) \qquad \forall t \in T$$

and there exist $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_0 \in \overline{\Gamma}$ such that

$$f(s,\tau_0) \leq \underline{v} = \sup_{\sigma \in \Gamma_f} \inf_{\tau \in \Gamma_f} f(\sigma,\tau) \quad \forall s \in S.$$

On the one hand, one has always $\underline{\mathbf{v}} = \sup\inf \leq \inf\sup = \overline{\mathbf{v}}$, on the other hand one gets $\mathbf{f}(\sigma_0, \tau_0) = \int \mathbf{f}(\sigma_0, \mathbf{t}) d\tau_0(\mathbf{t}) \geq \overline{\mathbf{v}} \geq \underline{\mathbf{v}} \geq \int \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \tau_0) d\sigma_0(\mathbf{s})$ = $\mathbf{f}(\sigma_0, \tau_0)$, and thus the desired equality $\underline{\mathbf{v}} = \overline{\mathbf{v}}$.

- (D) General Case
- (i) Construction of a countable set of best replies.

Let $\overline{v}=\inf_{\overline{I}_f}\sup_{\Gamma_f}f(\sigma,\tau)$, and let \overline{I}_n denote those $\tau\in\overline{I}_f$ with # Supp $(\tau)\leq n$. Denote also by F the set of continuous functions ϕ on T for which there exists $s\in S$ such that $f(s,t)\geq \phi(t)$ \forall $t\in T$: then $\overline{v}\leq \inf_{\tau\in\overline{I}_n}\sup_{\varphi\in \overline{I}_n}\int_{\varphi\in \overline{I}_n}^{\varphi}$

$$\forall \phi \in F$$
, let $0 = \{\tau \in \overline{T}_n \mid \int \phi \, d\tau > \overline{v} - \frac{1}{k} \}$.

The $0_{\phi,k,n}$ form, for each fixed k and n, an open covering of the compact space \overline{I}_n . Let $\Phi_{k,n}$ denote a finite subset of F such that $\bigcup_{\phi \in \Phi_{k,n}} 0_{\phi,k,n} = \overline{I}_n$.

Then $\phi = \bigcup_{k,n} \phi_{k,n}$ is a countable subset of F, such that $\bar{v} = \inf_{\tau \in \overline{T}_{f}} \phi \in \Phi$

(ii) Reduction to Case (C).

If ϕ_i enumerates Φ , let $d(t_1,t_2) = \sum_i 2^{-i} |\phi_i(t_1) - \phi_i(t_2)| / \|\phi_i\|$. d defines a metrisable quotient space \tilde{T} of T, such that, if ψ denotes the quotient mapping, any $\phi \in \Phi$ can be written as $g \circ \psi$, for some $g \in C(\tilde{T})$ - where, for some $s \in S$, $(g \circ \psi)(t) \leq f(s,t)$ $\forall t \in T$. Let Ψ denote the set of all $g \in C(\tilde{T})$ having this property.

Define \tilde{f} on $S \times \tilde{T}$ by $\tilde{f}(s,\tilde{t}) = \sup \{g(\tilde{t}) \mid g \in C(\tilde{T}), (g \circ \psi)(.) \leq f(s,.)\}$. Then, from (i), $\tilde{v} = \inf_{\tilde{t}} \sup_{t \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \sup_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \sup_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \sup_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \sup_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \sup_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{T}_f} \phi \in \tilde{\Phi} \leq \inf_{\tilde{T}_f} \int_{\tilde{T$

Let $h(s,\tilde{t}) = \inf \{f(s,t) \mid t \in \psi^{-1}(\tilde{t})\}$: if we show that $h(s,\tilde{t})$ is l.s.c. on \tilde{T} for each $s \in S$, it will follow that $\tilde{f} = h$, and therefore that \tilde{f} is also u.s.c. on S for each $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}$ - as an infimum of u.s.c. functions.

Since $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$ is metrisable, denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{t_i}}$ a sequence converging to $\tilde{\mathbf{t_o}}$. Choose $\mathbf{t_i} \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $\psi(\mathbf{t_i}) = \tilde{\mathbf{t_i}}$ and $f(s,\mathbf{t_i}) \leq h(s,\tilde{\mathbf{t_i}}) + 1/i$, and let $\mathbf{t_o}$ be a limit point of $\mathbf{t_i}$: we have $\psi(\mathbf{t_o}) = \tilde{\mathbf{t_o}}$, and

 $h(s,\tilde{t}_{\infty}) \leq f(s,t_{\infty}) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf f(s,t_{i}) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf h(s,\tilde{t}_{i})$ - hence the result. (iii) Conclusion.

 \tilde{f} on $S \times \tilde{T}$ satisfies all assumptions of (C), and from (ii) we have $\tilde{f}(s,\psi(t)) \leq f(s,t)$ and $\bar{v} \leq \inf_{\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}} \sup_{s \in S} \int_{\tilde{t}} \tilde{f}(s,\tilde{t}) d\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{t})$.

From (C), we know therefore that

$$\overline{v} \leq \sup_{\sigma \in \sum_{f}} \inf_{\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}} \int \widetilde{f}(s,\widetilde{t}) d\sigma(s) \leq \sup_{\sigma \in \sum_{f}} \inf_{t \in T} f(\sigma,t) ,$$

which completes the proof, the reverse inequality being obvious.

3. Comments on the Present Result

(i) The compactness assumption on both sides is really needed, as the following example due to H. Kuhn shows (private communication through R.J. Aumann, example originates from Kuhn's "Lecture Notes in Game Theory" [~1949], unpublished).

Player I picks a number x in [0,1], and player II chooses a continuous function ψ from [0,1] to itself with $\int \psi(t) dt = 1/2$. The payoff is $\psi(x)$. Then player I can guarantee 1/2 by choosing x uniformly distributed and player II by taking $\psi(t) = 1/2$ $\forall t$. But for any strategy of I with finite support, player II can choose an appropriate ψ that vanishes on the support - so player I cannot guarantee more than zero using strategies with finite support.

Remark here that if player II's strategy space is endowed with the uniform topology, we have a compact metric strategy space for player I, and a complete separable metric for II, and the payoff is uniformly bounded and a uniformly continuous function of player II's strategy - in particular it is jointly continuous on $S \times T$: even with much stronger assumptions everywhere else, just the failure of the compactness assumption on one side makes the theorem break down.

- (ii) Two directions of extension seem conceivable:
- The first would rely on some idea that our whole line of proof, of reducing oneself to some case where Fubini's theorem could be applied, is artificial, and that Fubini's theorem is irrelevant for this problem. In that case, one ought to be able to remove from the assumptions the last trace of Fubini's theorem i.e. that the payoff function be uniformly bounded either from below or from above, and one might try to extend this to some "intrinsic" setting, i.e. essentially drop the assumption that the strategy spaces are Hausdorff, and just ask, using Alexander's subbase theorem, that the family of sets $S_{t,\alpha} = \{s \in S \mid f(s,t) \geq \alpha\} \ (t \in T, \alpha \in R) \text{ has the finite intersection property, and similarly for Player II.}$
- The other direction would on the contrary look at Fubini's theorem as being basic, and consider that the "good" minmax theorem is the corollary sub (B) in the proof (from which all other minmax statements are easily obtained as corollaries cfr. Section 4). So one would try to show that, for any payoff function satisfying the assumptions of the theorem, Fubini's theorem applies for any product of regular Borel measures. Then the theorem would become an immediate corollary of the statement sub (B). Furthermore, this would be a much more flexible tool, in conjunction with the methods mentioned in Section 4, using the stability properties of the set of functions that are measurable for

any product of tight probabilities (pointwise limits, algebraic operations, composition, etc.).

The best result we know in this direction requires however, f(s,t) to be continuous in each variable separately (and implies then, whenever S and T are Hausdorff spaces, that f is measurable for any tight probability on $S \times T$ - cfr. Bourbaki([1959] § 2, ex. 26). It is by the way the basic idea of a fundamental lemma underlying this theorem (that points in the closure of some set in C(K) are already in the closure of some countable subset of it) that we have used in part (D)(i) of the proof.

- (iii) Motivations for this type of theorem are multiple.
- (a) There is first the aesthetic motivation of obtaining the minmax theorem for mixed strategies under such assumptions that, by just adding the quasi-concavity-quasi-convexity assumption, one obtains Sion's assumptions for the existence of pure strategy solutions (adding a boundedness assumption to Sion's does not weaken his theorem).
- (b) There is also the "effectiveness" point of view, that only discrete random variables can be effectively generated in finite time. (Any such discrete r.v. can be generated with a fair coin, by generating by successive tosses the successive bits of some random number $x (0 \le x < 1)$, stopping as soon as it is clear that, for some n, $\sum_{i \le n} p_i \le x < \sum_{i \le n} p_i$ and deciding then in favor of the n-th outcome: clearly a decision will be reached a.s. in finite time, and the n-th outcome will have probability p_n . This procedure can even be used with a biased coin, with unknown bias, by counting a pair of

successive tosses as one unit, giving a bit of "l" if first heads, then tails, a bit of "0" if first tails, then heads, and being inconclusive otherwise, in which case one should look to the next pair.)

It follows then that, for an operational concept of value, the players should be able to construct ϵ -optimal strategies where, in each information set, they are restricted to discrete mixtures.

In particular, for general minmax theorems, for normal form games, which may represent games with a single information set, one is led to the requirement of ε -optimal discrete mixtures. Under our assumptions, the players have ε -optimal finite mixtures, and the guaranteed payoff varies continuously with the probability vector used, so in any realistic model the player can play ε -optimally, even in bounded time.

(c) More important is the "safety" consideration, that, even neglecting the above, the player should always consider that his opponent might have an infinity of information sets at his disposal, or some analog device such as a continuous roulette wheel, and thus would be able to generate continuous random variables. In such a case, the evaluation of the expected payoff (even if players agree on some compact topologies on S and T, and to use only integration theory for regular Borel measures on compact spaces) would depend on the order of integration - except if one knows in addition that Fubini's theorem holds for the payoff function. For instance, players may think that the corollary sub (B) in the proof is the good minmax theorem; given a payoff function that would satisfy those assumptions for both players, player I might

find an optimal strategy that "guarantees" him +1, and similarly, player II applying the same corollary for him might find an optimal strategy that "guarantees" to him that the payoff will not exceed -1. Obviously, realizing this, both players can only conclude that the only thing they really can guarantee, is what they can guarantee with mixtures with finite support. Since "-1" is always \leq to "+1" this argument is perfectly general. (By our theorem, one could, in this example, have a strict inequality only for unbounded payoff functions; but still, the theorem is needed for that, and anyway the argument remains, since it depends only on the weak inequality $-1 \leq +1$.)

And things can be much worse, because nothing compels the player to agree on some integration theory - or even on some topology for the strategy spaces. You could even happen to be playing against Rubins and Savage [1965], using finitely additive randomizations. In such cases, only finite mixtures remain safe, unambiguous, and devoid of arbitrariness (i.e. only such a solution depends only on the data of the problem, i.e. the pure strategy sets -as sets- and the payoff function -as a real valued function on the product of the pure strategy sets).

(d) The proof shows that the result is much easier to get for compact metric spaces. Is it really worthwhile to make a substantially bigger effort in order to get rid of the metrisability assumption?

A first answer would be that this simplifies the statement of the theorem (one can drop "metric"), and that any effort in a proof is worthwhile if it leads to a simpler (and more powerful) theorem. A much more important reason is, however, that in any game where a continuous variable can be observed before some action is taken, the strategy spaces are non-metrisable. Certainly one does not want to exclude such models from game theory. Besides the obvious cases (observation of a price, or a quantity, or a continuous random variable), this would also exclude any differential game (time being a continuous variable) and any game with a continuum of players (just to define its characteristic function, one needs the value of a zero sum game between two opposing coalitions, where the strategy space of each coalition, as an uncountable product, cannot be metrisable). Those problems are amply documented in the literature; for instance, R.J. Aumann [1964] suggests the use of behavioral strategies to get around this type of problem; in other contexts (differential games, games with a continuum of players, etc.) various other restrictions are imposed on the strategy sets.

Besides the inconvenience of requiring additional structure (a topology, or a measurable structure), as was discussed in the previous point, such procedures have the unpleasant feature of restricting the strategy space -for instance, an arbitrary pure strategy is not necessarily a behavioral strategy, since it may lack the measurability requirement. For a minmax theorem, it is of course a net gain if one can show that some player's (e)-optimal strategies have in fact some additional regularity property; however, it is disturbing when it is only shown the the strategy is safe against some subclass of the opponent's strategies. Indeed, the opponent should ideally not even be assumed to follow a strategy, -he is just playing- and one would like the minmax theorem

to have essentially the same force as in the perfect information case, i.e. that any "play" consistent with your ϵ -optimal strategy yields a payoff $\geq v - \epsilon$.

4. Other Minmax Theorems

A) Measure Theory (non-Hausdorff compact spaces)

For any compact space S, denote by C the convex cone of bounded l.s.c. functions on S, and let $E = C \setminus C$. Denote by P the set of monotonic real valued sublinear (i.e. positively homogeneous of degree l and subadditive $(p(x + y) \le p(x) + p(y))$ functions on C. P is ordered in the usual way $(p_1 \le p_2 \text{ iff } p_1(f) \le p_2(f) \ \ f \in C)$.

Definition: M(S) is the set of minimal elements of P.

Lemma 1: $\forall p \in P, \exists \mu \in M(S): \mu < p$.

Proof: $\forall p \in P$, $\forall f \in C$ let $\alpha \leq 0$ be such that $f \geq \alpha$. Then $\forall q \in P$ s.t. $q \leq p$: $p(f) \geq q(f) \geq q(\alpha) \geq -q(-\alpha) \geq -p(-\alpha)$, so that the set of possible values q(f) is a bounded interval. Zorn's lemma then yields a minimal such q.

Lemma 2: Any $\mu \in M(S)$ is a positive linear functional on E, satisfying

$$\mu(f) = \inf \{\mu(g) \mid g \in C, g \ge f\} \quad \forall f \in E$$
.

<u>Proof:</u> Let $\tilde{\mu}$: $E \to R$ be defined by the above formula. Clearly $\tilde{\mu}$ is real valued (if $f \ge \alpha$, then $\tilde{\mu}(f) \ge \tilde{\mu}(\alpha) \ge \mu(\alpha) > -\infty$). Further, $\tilde{\mu}$ is obviously monotonic and sublinear, coinciding with μ on C.

The Hahn-Banach theorem says that any such $\tilde{\mu}$ is the supremum of a family of linear functionals. Any linear functional $\zeta \leq \tilde{\mu}$ is positive, because ≤ 0 on the negative functions. If there was a second such ζ , say $\tilde{\zeta}$, one would have $\zeta(f) \dagger \tilde{\zeta}(f)$ for some $f \in C$. Then either ζ or $\tilde{\zeta}$, restricted to C, would be some element of P $\leq \mu$, and $\dagger \mu$, thus μ would not be minimal. Therefore this ζ is unique, and thus coincides with $\tilde{\mu}$: $\tilde{\mu}$ is a positive linear functional on E.

Lemma 3: M(S) is the set of positive linear functionals μ on E such that

$$\forall f \in C$$
, $\mu(-f) = \inf \{\mu(g) \mid g \in C, g \ge -f\}$.

<u>Proof:</u> One direction is given by Lemma 2. In the other direction, we have clearly $\mu \in P$, thus (Lemma 1) $\exists \nu \in M(S)$: $\nu(h) \leq \nu(h) \forall h \in C$. Thus $\nu(-f) \geq \mu(-f) = \inf \{ \mu(g) \mid g \in C, g \geq -f \}$ $\geq \inf \{ \nu(g) \mid g \in C, g \geq -f \} = \nu(-f)$ (by Lemma 2), from which the equality of μ and ν .

Lemma 4: M(S) is the set of regular Borel measures on S, i.e. the set of positive, bounded, countably additive measures on the Borel sets of S satisfying $\mu(A) = \sup \{\mu(F) \mid F \subseteq A, F \text{ closed}\}$ = inf $\{\mu(O) \mid O \supseteq A, O \text{ open}\}$ for any μ -measurable set A.

<u>Proof:</u> Follows from a standard Daniell-type extension procedure.
We sketch just a typical sequence of steps:

• Denote by LSC the set of l.s.c. functions with values in $R \cup \{+\infty\}$, and USC = - LSC.

Let $\mu_1(f) = \sup \{\mu(g) \mid -g \in C, g \le f\} \ \forall f \in LSC$ Let $\mu^*(f) = \inf \{\mu_1(g) \mid g \in LSC, g \ge f\}$ for any \overline{R} -valued f.

- Lemma 2 implies $\mu^* = \mu$ on E, and $\mu^* = \mu_1$ on LSC.
- . Dini's theorem implies that, if $\ f_{\alpha} \in LSC$ is filtering increasing, then

$$\mu^*(\lim f_{\alpha}) = \lim \mu^*(f_{\alpha})$$
.

- It follows that $\mu^*(f+g) = \mu^*(f) + \mu^*(g)$ on LSC.
- The sublinearity of μ^* follows (avoiding $(+\infty) + (-\infty)$ right-hand members, and using the convention $(0) \cdot (\infty) = 0$).
- * Finally one gets: if h_n is any increasing sequence, with $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^*(h_n) > -\infty, \text{ then } \mu^*(\lim_n h_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^*(h_n). \text{ (It is sufficient to consider } \mu^*(h_n) \text{ finite; choose } f_n \in LSC, f_n \geq h_n, \\ \mu^*(f_n) \leq \mu^*(h_n) + \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-n}. \text{ Let } \psi_n = \sup_{i \leq n} f_i. \psi_n \text{ is an increasing sequence in LSC such that } \psi_n \geq h_n. \psi_n = \max_i (\psi_{n-1}, f_n) \text{ implies } \\ \mu^*(\psi_n) + \mu^*(h_{n-1}) \leq \mu^*(\psi_n) + \mu^*(\min_i (f_n, \psi_{n-1})) = \mu^*(\psi_n + \min_i (f_n, \psi_{n-1})) \\ = \mu^*(f_n + \psi_{n-1}) = \mu^*(f_n) + \mu^*(\psi_{n-1}), \text{ thus } \mu^*(\psi_n) \mu^*(h_n) \\ \leq [\mu^*(f_n) \mu^*(h_n)] + [\mu^*(\psi_{n-1}) \mu^*(h_{n-1})] \text{ and therefore } \\ \mu^*(\psi_n) \mu^*(h_n) \leq \sum_{i \leq n} [\mu^*(f_i) \mu^*(h_i)] \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i \leq n} 2^{-i} \leq \varepsilon, \text{ so that } \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^*(h_n) \leq \mu^*(\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n) \leq \mu^*(\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^*(\psi_n) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^*(h_n) + \varepsilon.$
- It follows that μ^* , restricted to indicator functions, is an outer measure.

- Let $L = \{f \mid \mu^\#(f) > -\infty, \ \mu^\#(-f) > -\infty, \ \mu^\#(f) + \mu^\#(-f) \leq 0\}$. By the sublinearity of $\mu^\#$, L is a vector space and $\mu^\#$ is a linear functional on L. Further $E \subseteq L$ since $\mu^\#$ coincides with μ on E, and in addition $f \in LSC$, $\mu^\#(f) < \infty$ implies $f \in L$.
- Since for any open sets $\mathbf{0}$ and \mathbf{U} , $\mathbf{I}_0 \in \mathbf{L}$, and $\mathbf{I}_{O\cap \mathbf{U}} \in \mathbf{L}$, we have also $\mathbf{I}_{O\setminus \mathbf{U}} \in \mathbf{L}$, so we get $\mu^*(0) = \mu^*(0 \cap \mathbf{U}) + \mu^*(0 \setminus \mathbf{U})$. Thus, for any set \mathbf{A} , since $\mu^*(\mathbf{A}) = \inf \{\mu^*(0) \mid \mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{0}\}$, we get $\mu^*(\mathbf{A}) \geq \mu^*(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{U}) + \mu^*(\mathbf{A} \setminus \mathbf{U})$, so that any open set \mathbf{U} is μ^* -measurable and thus all Borel sets are μ^* -measurable.
- The formula $\mu(A) = \inf \{ \mu(0) \mid A \subseteq 0, 0 \text{ open} \}$ for any μ^* -measurable set A implies $\mu(A) = \sup \{ \mu(F) \mid F \subseteq A, F \text{ closed} \}$, and thus the μ^* -measurable sets are just the completion of the Borel sets, and μ is a regular Borel measure.
- Finally, $\int f d\mu = \mu^*(f)$ first for the convex cone spanned by the constants and the indicators of open sets, by linearity, next for all $f \in LSC$, by monotone convergence, and therefore for all $f \in L$ and in particular all $f \in E$. It also follows that $L = L_1(\mu)$.
- The regular Borel measure $\,\mu\,$ is unique, because its value on the open sets is determined.
- $\, \cdot \,$ Conversely, clearly the integral for any regular Borel measure is a functional in $\, M(S) \, . \,$

The essential results of this section are summarized in the following:

<u>Proposition</u>: Denote by M(S) the set of positive, regular Borel measures on S. Then:

- $\forall p \in P \exists \mu \in M(S): \mu \leq p \text{ on } C \text{ (Lemma 1)}.$
- M(S) is a convex cone (and a complete lattice) (immediate from Lemma 4).
- If the sum of 2 positive linear functionals on E is in M(S), each one is also (immediate from Lemma 3).
- . Define the "weak*-topology" on M(S) as the coarsest topology for which $\int f d\mu$ is lowersemicontinuous $\forall f \in C$, and thus for all l.s.c. f. Then addition and scalar multiplication are continuous, points are closed and all sets $\{\mu \, | \, \mu(1) = \lambda\}$ and $\{\mu \, | \, \mu(1) \leq \lambda\}$ ($\lambda > 0$) are closed and compact.

<u>Proof:</u> The continuity of addition and scalar multiplication is immediate. $\{\mu\}$ is closed because, if $\tilde{\mu}$ is in the closure of μ , one has $\tilde{\mu}(f) \leq \mu(f)$ $\forall f \in C$, thus $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$ by the minimality of μ .

Since all constants belong to C, there only remains to prove the compactness of $\{\mu \mid \mu(1) \leq \lambda\}$. For any ultrafilter on this set, let ϕ denote its pointwise limit in the set of positive linear functionals on E. By Lemma 1, $\exists \nu \in M(S)$ with $\nu \leq \phi$ on C: ν is a limit point in M(S).

Note that the above applies whenever C is a lattice and convex cone of bounded functions on some set S, containing the constants and such that $f_i \in C$, $f_i \leq f_{i+1} \leq 0$ implies $\lim_i f_i \in C$, and $\lim_i f_i > -1$ implies $\exists i: f_i > -1$. The Borel sets are then interpreted as the σ -field generated by C, and the regularity is with respect to the

 $\{f \leq 0\}$ $(f \in C)$ as closed sets. (Dini's theorem should be used only for sequences then.)

In particular, everything applies as soon as S is countably compact (any sequence has a cluster point). Compactness only yields the additional τ -smoothness property: $\mu(\bigcup_{\alpha} O_{\alpha}) = \sup_{\alpha} \mu(O_{\alpha})$ for any increasing net of open sets O_{α} .

In the particular case where S is Hausdorff, i.e., $\forall f.\ g \in C \text{ s.t. } f > \neg g \ \exists \ h: \ \neg g \leq h \leq f, \ h \ continuous, \ the \ above$ results yield Riesz' theorem.

We now obtain also, using standard techniques, a Fubini theorem (the same theorem and proof obviously holds for arbitrary products, finite or infinite; the minmax theorem is however, concerned only with products of two factors. The contribution of the theorem is obviously to get the measure on the Borel σ -field of the product, instead of the product σ -field):

<u>Proposition 2</u>: Let K_1 and K_2 denote two compact spaces, $K = K_1 \times K_2.$ Let $\mu_1 \in M(K_1), \mu_2 \in M(K_2).$ Then

- (a) There exists a unique $\mu \in M(K)$, denoted $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$, such that $\mu(F_1 \times F_2) = \mu_1(F_1)\mu_2(F_2) \quad \forall F_i$ closed in K_i .
- (b) For any lower semicontinuous f, there exists a sequence of functions $\psi_i = \varepsilon_i I_{\substack{i \\ 1^i \times F_2^i}}$ with $\varepsilon_i > 0$, F_j^i closed in K_j , and a constant function $\psi_0 \leq 0$, such that

$$\sum_{i} \psi_{i} \leq f \quad \text{and} \quad \int f d\mu = \sum \int \psi_{i} d\mu \quad .$$

(c) For any μ -quasi-integrable f one has that - for μ_1 - almost every x, f(x,.) is μ_2 -quasi-integrable.

- $\int f(x,y)d\mu_2(y)$ is μ_1 -quasi-integrable (and l.s.c. if f is)
- $-\iint [f(x,y)d\mu_2(y)]d\mu_1(x) = \iint d\mu.$

(An extended real valued function f called μ -quasi-integrable if it is μ -measurable and either $\int f^+ d\mu \, \stackrel{\ddagger}{=} \infty$ or $\int f^- d\mu \, \stackrel{\ddagger}{=} \infty$).

<u>Proof:</u> Denote by $\tilde{\mu}$ the product measure on the product of the Borel σ -fields; let $\tilde{\mu}$ be a positive linear functional on all bounded functions that extends $\int (.) d\tilde{\mu}$, and let (Lemma 1) $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} \in M(K)$ minorize $\tilde{\mu}$ on C. Then we have

$$\bar{\bar{\mu}}(0_1 \times 0_2) \leq \bar{\bar{\mu}}(0_1 \times 0_2) = \bar{\bar{\mu}}(0_1 \times 0_2) = \bar{\bar{\mu}}_1(0_1) \bar{\bar{\mu}}_2(0_2)$$
for all 0 open in K₁, and

$$\bar{\bar{\mu}}(F_1 \times F_2) \ge \bar{\bar{\mu}}(F_1 \times F_2) = \bar{\bar{\mu}}(F_1 \times F_2) = \mu_1(F_1)\mu_2(F_2)$$
for all F_1 closed in K_1 .

Using the regularity of μ_i , it follows that, for any μ_i measurable sets A_i , $\bar{\bar{\mu}}(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$.

Thus the product measure $\tilde{\mu}$ can be extended to a regular Borel measure. By the regularity of μ_1 and μ_2 , any Borel measure μ satisfying $\mu(F_1 \times F_2) = \mu_1(F_1)\mu_2(F_2)$ will satisfy $\mu(A_1 \times A_2) \geq \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$ for any Borel sets A_1 , and thus going to complements, the inverse inequality: it will be an extension of $\tilde{\mu}$. Since any open set 0 is the limit of the increasing net of sets 0_a, where the sets 0_a are all finite unions of products $0_1 \times 0_2 \subseteq 0$, and thus $\tilde{\mu}$ -measurable, the regularity of μ will imply that μ is uniquely determined on all open sets, and thus on all Borel sets.

This proves statement (a), and (b) is obtained by pursuing a bit the same argument (representing the ${}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\alpha}$ as finite disjoint unions of products of Borel sets, and using there the regularity of the $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}$'s, going from indicator functions of open sets to l.s.c. functions f, one obtains a finite sequence $\psi_{\mathbf{i}}$ with $\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \psi_{\mathbf{i}} \leq \mathbf{f}$ and $\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \psi_{\mathbf{i}} d\mu$ as close as required to $\int f d\mu$. Iterating this conclusion with the l.s.c. function $\mathbf{f} - \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \psi_{\mathbf{i}}$ yields (b)).

B) A Basic Tool

We return now to the corollary sub (B) of our minmax theorem, dropping the Hausdorff assumption on S. Remark that, for any τ with finite support, $f(s,\tau)$ is u.s.c. in s; it therefore achieves its maximum, and $\max_{\sigma} f(s,\tau) = \max_{\sigma \in M(S)} f(\sigma,\tau)$. Let $\bar{v} = \inf_{\tau} \max_{\sigma} f(\sigma,\tau)$ (τ ranging over probabilities with finite support). By part (A) of the proof there exists, for any finite subset \bar{T} of \bar{T} , a probability σ with finite support on S such that $\min_{\sigma} f(\sigma,t) \geq \bar{v}$. Since $f(\cdot,t)$ is u.s.c., and σ is a positive term linear functional on E, there exists by Lemma 1, $\bar{\sigma} \in M(S)$ with $\bar{\sigma}(g) \geq \sigma(g)$ for all u.s.c. g. In particular, $\bar{\sigma}(1) = \sigma(1) = 1$, and $\min_{\sigma} f(\bar{\sigma},t) \geq \bar{v}$. Let $\sum_{\tau} = \{\sigma \in M(S) \mid \sigma(1) = 1 \text{ and } f(\bar{\sigma},t) \geq \bar{v} \in \tilde{T}\}$.

 $\sum_{\mathbf{T}}$ is obviously closed in M(S), thus, by Proposition 1, the sets $\sum_{\mathbf{T}}$ form a decreasing net of nonempty, closed, compact sets, and therefore have a nonempty intersection. Any σ in the intersection fills the bill.

Observe that it follows from this that we can phrase the assumptions of that corollary in a fully intrinsic way, using Alexander's subbase theorem:

Theorem 2: Denote by S and T two sets, $f: S \times T + R \cup \{-\infty\}$. For any $\alpha \in R$ and $t \in T$, let $S_{t,\alpha} = \{s \in S \mid f(s,t) \geq \alpha\}$. If the family of sets $S_{t,\alpha}$ has the finite intersection property, and if M(S) denotes the set of regular Borel probabilities on S endowed with the coarsest topology for which the functions f(.,t) $(t \in T)$ are u.s.c., then

Remarks:

- 1) The finite intersection property can be rephrased as asking that any pointwise limit of pure strategies, i.e. of functions f(s,.), be dominated by some pure strategy, i.e. by some function $f(s_0,.)$.
- 2) This property is substantially weaker than the usual compactness assumption. For instance, if T is a single point, it just asks that f(s) attains its maximum.
- 3) Using the remark after Proposition 1, it is sufficient to ask that the class of sets $S_{t,\alpha}$ be countably compact, i.e. that any countable family of such sets has the finite intersection property. In that case M(S) becomes the set of probabilities on the σ -field

generated by the functions f(.,t), regular w.r.t. the countable intersections of finite unions of sets S_t , (in particular, if S with its coarsest topology is countably compact, M(S) is just the set of regular Borel probabilities on S).

This amounts to ask that, for any countable subset \tilde{T} of T, any pointwise limit of pure strategies s_n be dominated on \tilde{T} by some pure strategy s_0 .

4) Given the above measure theory, including Fubini, essentially our whole proof of Section 2 would go through without the Hausdorff assumption, and thus give the corresponding "intrinsic" result.

Indeed, the use of continuous functions in part "D" has nothing essential; lowersemicontinuous ones would do as well, giving a reduction to the case where T is compact with countable base, in which case the proof sub (C) works just as well. The only troublesome point lies in the last sentence sub (ii) of case (D) where the regularity of the topology ("every point has a basis of closed neighborhoods") seems to be used in an essential way.

Anyways, it goes through if one assumes that one of the spaces S and T either has a countable basis or is Hausdorff.

C) Other Techniques from the Literature

Most results of the literature (except of course Sion's) are obtained, or are at least obtainable, by applying to some particular case of Theorem 2 one of the two following generalization techniques:

1) The first one goes back to Wald. It states that, if for any $\epsilon > 0$ it is possible to find subsets S_{ϵ} of S and T_{ϵ} of T such that, by some independent argument, the game restricted to S_{ϵ}

and T_{ε} has a value, and such that $\forall s \in S$, $\exists s' \in S_{\varepsilon}$: $f(s,t) \leq f(s',t) + \varepsilon$ $\forall t \in T_{\varepsilon}$, and similarly $\forall t \in T$, $\exists t' \in T_{\varepsilon}$: $\forall s \in S_{\varepsilon}$: $f(s,t) \geq f(s,t') - \varepsilon$, then the given game has a value.

2) The other idea is based on Karlin, and amounts essentially to using the monotone convergence theorem, or Fatou's lemma.

For instance, after applying Theorem 2 to some game, and having found the value v and player I's optimal strategy μ , one looks for some class C of functions $\phi(s)$ such that $\int \phi(s) d\mu \geq v$. Say C contains all bounded measurable functions that are minorized by some f(.,t) ($t \in T$) and their convex combinations, and also the limit of any decreasing sequence ϕ_n of such functions (one could still add all functions ψ which are, for any regular Borel probability μ , in the equivalence class of some $\phi \in C$) $\frac{1}{2}$.

Then any game $\tilde{f}(s,t)$ such that $\tilde{f} \leq f$ and $\forall t$, $\tilde{f}(.,t) \in C$ would have the same value and optimal strategy.

Usually f is constructed from f by taking the smallest u.s.c. function majorizing it, but sometimes the argument has to be applied both ways.

To illustrate, we give a typical application: S and T are compact metric, f is a bounded measurable (i.e. measurable for any product measure) function on S × T such that, if $E = \{(s,t) \mid f$ is not continuous in s or in t at (s,t), then $\forall s$, $\#\{t \mid (s,t) \in E\} \leq 1$ and $\forall t$, $\#\{s \mid (s,t) \in E\} \leq 1$. (Remark that measurability would follow for instance if we had, denoting by \tilde{E} the (F_{σ}) set of points of discontinuity of f, $\forall s \{t \mid (s,t) \in \tilde{E}\}$ and $\forall t \{s \mid (s,t) \in \tilde{E}\}$ are at most countable.)

Such a game has a value.

Indeed, let $\phi_1(s_0,t_0) = \lim\sup_{s\to s_0} f(s,t_0)$, $\phi_2(s_0,t_0) = \lim\inf_{t\to t_0} f(s_0,t)$. $\sup_{s\to s_0} s^{\dagger}s_0$ $t^{\dagger}t_0$ Consider an optimal strategy σ of player I in the game with payoff $f \vee \phi_1$ (Theorem 2). $\bigvee t_0 \in T$, by considering an appropriate sequence t_i converging to t_0 , one can have $\lim_{t\to \infty} (f \vee \phi_1)(s,t_i) = [\phi_2 \wedge (f \vee \phi_1)](s,t_0)$. Thus, by the above argument, say in the form of Fatou's lemma, σ is still an optimal strategy of player I for $[\phi_2 \wedge (f \vee \phi_1)]$, guaranteeing the same value v_1 , and player II's ε -optimal strategy with finite support τ_{ε} guarantees the same v_1 for $(f \vee \phi_1)$.

Inverting the roles of the players, we get a value \mathbf{v}_2 for both $\mathbf{f} \wedge \phi_2$ and $[\phi_1 \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{f} \wedge \phi_2)]$, an optimal strategy τ for II and an ϵ -optimal strategy with finite support σ_{ϵ} for I. But $\phi_1 \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{f} \wedge \phi_2) \geq \phi_2 \wedge (\mathbf{f} \mathbf{v} \phi_1)$, so, by applying Fubini's theorem to $\sigma \otimes \tau$ and those payoff functions, we get $\mathbf{v}_2 \geq \mathbf{v}_1$. Since $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{v} \phi_1 \geq \mathbf{f} \geq \mathbf{f} \wedge \phi_2$, we have $\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}$, and σ_{ϵ} and τ_{ϵ} guarantee \mathbf{v} in those 3 games, so in \mathbf{f} .

Karlin's "general game of timing of class II" (Karlin, [1959], Chap. V, Ex. 20), falls in this category.

Similarly, assume S and T are compact, and f(s,t) is bounded and Borel-measurable on $S \times T$. Assume $\exists S^0 \subseteq S$ and $T^0 \subseteq T$ such that f(s,.) is l.s.c. on T for $s \in S^0$, f(.,t) is u.s.c. on S for $t \in T^0$, and such that $\forall s \in S$ $\exists s_n \in S^0$ with $\liminf_{n \to \infty} f(s_n,t)$ $\geq f(s,t)$ $\forall t \in T$, and that $\forall t \in T$ $\exists t_n \in T^0$ with $\limsup_{n \to \infty} f(s,t_n) \leq f(s,t)$ $\forall s \in S$. Then this game has a value, $\limsup_{n \to \infty} f(s,t_n) \leq f(s,t)$ $\forall s \in S$. Then this game has a value, and both players have ε -optimal strategies with finite support carried by S^0 and T^0 respectively.

Indeed, Theorem 2 yields a value \bar{v} for the game on $S \times T^0$, and by Fatou's lemma, player I's optimal strategy is still safe against all $t \in T$. Similarly one can apply Theorem 2 and next Fatou's lemma to the game on $S^0 \times T$, with value \underline{v} . Fubini's theorem applied to f and the product of the optimal strategies yields then $\underline{v} = \bar{v}$, hence the result.

C. Waternaux [1983]'s "auxiliary game" is of this type.

Most other classical examples (like all examples in Karlin [1950], Restrepo's "general silent duel" or Karlin's "two machinegun duel") can be shown to have a value by the same technique, applied in a more or less similar (and often easier) way.

Footnotes

If this is done, the resulting class C is identical to the set of all functions ϕ , such that \forall n, ϕ v(-n) is in the closed convex hull of the set of bounded measurable function \geq some f(.,t), in the space of all bounded universally measurable functions in duality with the space of regular Borel measures on S. This shows that our technique is really equivalent to Karlin's apparently more powerful closure methods (Karlin, [1950]).

The only point to show is that the class $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ of bounded functions in \mathbb{C} is closed, it is thus sufficient to show closedness in $\mathbb{L}_{\omega}(\mu)$ $\sigma(\mathbb{L}_{\omega}(\mu),\mathbb{L}_{1}(\mu))$. By the Krein-Smulian theorem on weak*-closed convex sets, it is sufficient to show that its intersection with any ball of \mathbb{L}_{ω} is $\tau(\mathbb{L}_{\omega},\mathbb{L}_{1})$ -closed. Since the Mackey topology coincides on balls of \mathbb{L}_{ω} with the topology of convergence in measure (this result of Grothendieck follows easily from Dunford-Pettis' equiintegrability criterion for weak compactness in \mathbb{L}_{1}), it follows from Egorov's theorem that it is sufficient to show that the limit of any uniformly bounded a.e. convergent sequence (g_n) in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ is in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$.

There is obviously no loss in assuming further that each g is larger than some convex combination of functions f(.,t). Then $\lim\sup g_n$ is obviously in \tilde{C} .

References

For general reference in mathematics, the reader may want to consult Halmos [1950], Kelley [1955] and Kelley and Namioka [1963]; and on minmax theorems, Parthasarathy and Raghavan [1971].

- Aumann, R.J. [1964], "Mixed and Behavioural Strategies in Infinite Extensive Games," in Dresher, Shapley and Tucker (eds.), pp. 627-650.
- Bourbaki, N. [1959], Eléments de Mathematique, Livre VI: Intégration, Ch. VI: Intégration vectorielle, Hermann, Paris.
- Dresher, M., A.W. Tucker and P. Wolfe (eds.) [1957], Contributions to the Theory of Games III (Annals of Mathematical Studies, Vol. 39), Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Dresher, M., L.S. Shapley and A.W. Tucker (eds.) [1964], Advances in Game Theory (Annals of Mathematical Studies, Vol. 52)

 Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Dubins, L. and L.J. Savage [1965], How to Gamble If You Must, MacGraw Hill, New York.
- Grothendieck, A. [1952], "Critères de compacité dans les espaces fonctionnels généraux," American Journal of Mathematics 74, pp. 168-186.
- Grothendieck, A. [1953], "Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces de type C(K)," <u>Canadian Journal of Mathematics</u> 5, pp. 129 173.
- Halmos, P.R. [1950], Measure Theory, Van Nostrand, New York.
- Karlin, S. [1950], "Operator Treatment of the Minmax Principle, I," in H.W. Kuhn and A.W. Tucker (eds.) pp. 133 - 154.
- Karlin, S. [1959], <u>Mathematical Methods and Theory in Games</u>, <u>Programming and Economics</u>, Vol. II, Addison-Wesley.
- Kelley, J.L. [1955], General Topology, Van Nostrand, New York.
- Kelley, J.L., I. Namioka, et al. [1963], <u>Linear Topological Spaces</u>, Van Nostrand, New York.
- Kneser, H. [1952], "Sur un théorème fondamental de la théorie des jeux," Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences 234, pp. 2418-2420.

- Kuhn, H.W. and A.W. Tucker (eds.) [1950], Contributions to the Theory of Games, I (Ann. Math. Studies, Vol. 24), Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Nikaido, H. [1954], "On von Neumann's Minimax Theorem," Pacific Journal of Mathematics 4, pp. 65-72.
- Parthasarathy, T. and T.E.S. Raghavan [1971], Some Topics in Two-Person Games, American Elsevier Publishing Company, New York.
- Restrepo, R. [1957], "Tactical Problems Involving Several Actions," in Dresher, Tucker, and Wolfe (eds.), pp. 313-337.
- Sion, M. [1958], "On General Minimax Theorems," Pacific Journal of Mathematics 8, pp. 171-176.
- Sion, M. and P. Wolfe [1957], "On a Game without a Value," in Dresher, Tucker and Wolfe (eds.), pp. 299-305.
- Teh Tjoe-Tie [1963], "Minmax Theorems on Conditionally Compact Sets,"

 Annals of Mathematical Statistics 34, pp. 1536-1540.
- Wald, A. [1945], "Generalization of a Theorem by von Neumann Concerning Zero-Sum Two-Person Game," Annals of Mathematics 46, pp. 281-286.
- Wald, A. [1950], "Note on Zero-Sum Two-Person Games," Annals of Mathematics 52, pp. 739-742.
- Waternaux, C. [1983], "Minmax and Maxmin of Repeated Games Without A Recursive Structure," CORE Discussion Paper 8313, Université Catholique de Louvain.
- Yanovskaya, E.B. [1964], "Minimax Theorems for Games on the Unit Square,"
 Theory of Probability and its Applications 9, pp. 500-502.

REPORTS IN THIS SERIES

1

- The Structure and Stabulity of Competitive Dynamical Systems." by David Cass and Karl Shell. 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
 - nopolistic Competition and the Capital Market," by J. E. Stiglitz.
 - The Corporation Tax." by J. E. Stiglitz.
- Messuring Returns to Scale in the Aggregate and the Scale Effect of Public Goods," by David A. Starrett
- A Note on the Budget Constraint in a Model of Borrowing," by Duncan K. Foley and Martin F. Hellwig. Quality, and Regulation," by Michael Spence.
- Asymptotic Expansions of the Darributions of Estimates in Simultaneous Equations for Alternative Parameter requirement. by T. W. Anderson. nontitives, Risk, and Information. Notes Towards a Theory of Hierarchy," by Joseph E. Stiglitz.
- in of Lanear Functional Relationships: Approximate Distributions and Connections with Simultaneous in Econometrics," by T. W. Anderson.

3

- Monopoly and the Rate of Extraction of Exhaustible Resources," by Joseph E. Sightiz.
- Equilibrium in Competitive Insurance Markets: An Essay on the Economics of Imperfect Information," by shicked Rothschild and Joseph Stightz. \$ 5
- Strong Consistency of Least Squares Estimates in Normal Linear Regression," by T. W. Anderson and John B. Taylor
- Theentire Schemes under Differential Information Structures An Application to Trade Policy." by Partha Dagupta and Joseph Stights.
 - Describution of a Maximum Likelihood Estimate of a Stope Coefficient: The LIML Estimate for Known Covatiance Matrix," by T. W. Anderson and Takamitsu Sawa. The Incidence and Efficiency Effects of Taxes on Income from Capital," by John B. Shoven. 17.

 - An Asymptotic Expansion of the Distribution of the Maximum Likelihood Estimate of the Slope Coefficient in a Linear Functional Relationship." by T. W. Anderson. "A Comment on the Test of Overidentifying Restrictions," by Joseph B. Kadane and T. W. Anderson. 175. 76
 - Some Experimental Results on the Statutical Properties of Least Squares Estimates in Control Problems," hy I'W. Anderson and John B. Taylor. 7
 - "A Note on "Fulfilled Expectations" Equilibria," by David M. Kreps. 2 8
- Budget Displacement Effects of Inflationary Finance," by Jerry Green and E. Sheshinski.

Uncertainty and the Rate of Extraction under Alternative Institutional Arrangements." by Partha Dasgupta and Joseph E. Stubitz.

- Towards a Marxist Theory of Money," by Duncan K. Foley.
- "The Existence of Futures Markets, Noisy Rational Expectations and Informational Externalities," by Sanford Grossman "On the Efficiency of Competitive Stock Markets where Traders have Diverse Information." by Sanford Grossman
- A Bayesian Approach to the Production of Information and Learning by Doing," by Santord J. Grossman, Richard Editoria and Leonard J. Mirman. "A Bidding Model of Perfect Competition," by Robert Wilson.
- Deequilibrium Allocations and Recontracting." by Jean-Michel Grandmont, Guy Laroque and Yves Younes
- "Agreeing to Disagree," by Robert J. Aumann \$ 5 2
- The Maximum Likelihood and the Nonlinear Three Stage Least Squares Estimator in the General Nonlinear Sumilianous Equation Model." by Takesh Amemya The Modified Second Round Estimator in the General Qualitative Response Model," by Takedii Amemica
- The Bilinear Complementarity Problem and Competitive Equilibria of Linear Economic Models," by Robert Wilson
- Some Theorems in the Linear Probability Model," by Takeshi Ameninya. £ 2 2
- Noncooperative Equilibrium Concepts for Objectorly Theory," by E. A. Gerard-Varet Inflation and Costs of Price Adjustment." by Eytan Sheshimki and Yoram Weiss.
- 'Distortion of Preferences, Income Distribution and the Case for a funcar Income Tax," by Modecui Kurz Power and Taxes in a Multi-Commodity Economy," by R. J. Aumann and M. Kurz
- Demand for Fixed Factors, Inflation and Adjustment Costs." by Extan Shediniski and Yoram Weiss. Search Strategies for Nonrenewable Resource Deposits," by Richard J. Gilbert.
- Begains and Rapoffs. A Wodel of Monopolistically Competitive Pinc Dispersions,? by Steve Salop and Joseph Status The Design of Tax Structure Direct Versus Indirect Taxation by A. B. Atkinson and J. L. Stiglitz 2222222222222222
 - Market Afforations of Longton Chone in a Model with Free Mobility," by David Station
 - Efficiency in the Optimum Supply of Public Goods," by Lawrence J. Lau, Fytan Sheshinski and Joseph F. Stiglitz
 - Rick Sharing, Share, ropping and I neertain Labor Markers," by David M. G. Newherry On Non-Walrasian Equilibria. By Frank Habn.
 - 4 Note on Elasticity of Substitution Functions," by Lawrence J. Lau.
- Quantity Constraints as Substitutes for Imperative Markets. The Case of the Credit Markets. Thy Morde at Kurz
 - Incremental Consumer's Surplus and Hedonic Pine Adjustment," by Robert D. Willig

. Ka (& 6)

- "Optimal Depletion of an Uncertain Stock," by Richard Gilbert.
- Some Minimum Chi-Square Estimators and Comparisons of Normal and LogisticaModels in Qualitative Response Snalysis," by Kunno Morimune
- 'A Characterization of the Optimality of Equilibrium in Incomplete Markets," by Sanford J. Grossman
- "Inflation and Taxes in a Growing Economy with Debt and Equity Finance," by M. Feldstein, J. Green and E. Sheahinako "The Specification and Estimation of a Multivariate Logit Model." by Takeshi Amemiya.
 - Prices and Queues as Screening Devices in Competitive Markets," by Joseph E. Stigitz.
- Conditions for Strong Consistency of Least Squares Estimates in Linear Models," by I. W. Anderson and John B. Taylor
- "Utilitarianism and Horizontal Equity The Case for Random Taxation," by Joseph E. Stiglitz.
- Simple Formulae for Optimal Income Taxation and the Measurement of Inequality." by Joseph F. Stiglitz
- Temporal Resolution of Uncertainty and Dynamic Choice Behavior," by David M. Kreps and Evan L. Porteus
- "The Estimation of Nonlinear Labor Supply Functions with Taxes from a Truncated Sample," by Michael Hurd The Welfare Implications of the Unemployment Rate." by Michael Hurd.
- "Keynesian Economics and General Equilibrium Theory: Reflections on Some Current Dehates." by Frank Hahn
 - "The Core of an Exchange Economy with Differential Information," by Robert Wilson.
- Intermediate Preferences and the Majority Rule," by Jean-Michel Grandmont "A Competitive Model of Exchange." by Robert Wilson.
- The Fixed Price Equilibria: Some Results in Local Comparative Statics," by Guy Landque
- "On Stockholder Unanimity in Making Production and Financial Decisions," by Santord J. Giossman. and Joseph. E. Stiglitz
 - Selection of Regressors," by Takeshi Amemiya.
 - "A Note on A Random Coefficients Model." by Takeshi Amemiya
- "A Note on a Heteroscedastic Model," hy Takeshi Amemiya
- "Welfare Measurement for Local Public Finance," by David Starrett
- "Unemployment Equilibrium with Rational Expectations," by W. P. Heller and R. M. Starr
- 'An Application of Stein's Methods to the Problem of Single Period Control of Repression Models," by Asad Zaman "A Theory of Competitive Equilibrium in Stock Market Economies," by Sanford J. Grossman and Oliver D. Hart.
 - Second Best Welfare Economics in the Mixed Economy," by David Starrett
- Tables of the Distribution of the Maximum Lakethwed Estimate of the Stope Coefficient and Approximations." By F. W. Anderson and Takamitsu Sawa. "The Logic of the Fix-Price Method." by Jean-Michel Grandmont
 - Further Results on the Informational Efficiency of Competitive Stock Markets," by Sanford Grossman 88888
 - "The Estimation of a Simultaneous-Equation Generalized Probit Model," by Takeshi Amemya. "The Estimation of a Simultaneous-Equation Tobit Model," by Takeshi Ameniya
- The Consistency of the Maximum Likelihood Estimator in a Disequibitium Model," by T. America and G. Sen-
- "Numerical testitation of the Exact and Approximate Distribution Euristics of the EuroStage Least Squares Estimate, by E. W. Anderson and Eddamitsu Sawa.
- "Risk Measurement of Public Projects," by Robert Wilkon
- "A Note on the Uniqueness of the Representation of Commodity, Augmenting Technical Change," by Lawrence J. Law On the Capitalization Hypothesis in Closed Communities," by David Starrett
- The Property Rights Decrine and Demand Revelation under Incomplete Information," by Kenneth J. Arrow.
 - Optimal Capital Gains Taxation Under Limited Information," by Jerry R. Green and Pytan Sheshinski.
- Straightforward Individual Incentive Compatibility in Large Economies," by Peter J. Hammond
- On the Rate of Convergence of the Core by Robert J. Aumann
 - Trisatistactory Equilibria," by Frank Halin
- Fristen of anditions for Aggregate Demand Functions. The Case of a Single Index, by Lawrence J. Lau
- "I sisteme Conditions for Aggregate Demand Functions. The Case of Multiple Indexes," by Lawrence I Lan
- Tinear Regression Using Both Temporally Aggregated and Temporally Disaggregated Data," by Cheng Huao "A Note on Exact Index Numbers," by Lawrence J. Lau-
- The Existence of Economic Equilibria. Continuity and Mixed Strategies," by Partha Dasgupta and Fits Maskin ***************
- A Complete Class Theorem for the Control Problem and Further Results on Admissibility and Inadmissibility, "18 45ad Zaman
 - "Measure-Based Values of Market Games," by Sergin Hart
- "Altruism as an Outcome of Social Interaction," by Mordecai Kurz
- A Representation Theorem for Preference for Flexibility, " by David M. Kieps,
- The Function of Efficient and Inventive Compatible Lquithria with Public Goods, "Av. Theodore Groves and John O. Ledy and
- * flicient Collective Choice with Compensation," by Theodore Groves
- On the Impossibility of Informationally Efficient Markets," by Sanford J. Goossman and Loveph 1. Stighter

REPORTS IN THIS SERIES

- The Bushaty of Derived Net Supply and a Generalized Le Chatelse Principle... by W. F. Diewert "Become Destribution and Discussion of Perferences the P. Commodity Gost... by Mardeva Kurz... "The n. F. Orden Mass Squared Errors of the Maximum Latelshood and the Minimum Logs (the Square Extractor... by Latechs America.) Take-Over Bask and the Theory of the Corporation." by Stanford Grossman and Oliver D. Nati The Namencal Values of Some Key Parameters in Rommerer, Models," by T. W. Anderson, Kimps Mostman, and Lakanston Sana. orn Front in a Dynamic Simultaneous Equations Model without Stationary Distorbances." by Cheng Hauss Two Bepresentations of Information Structures and their Comparisons," by Jerry Green and Nancy Stukey and You Neumann-Murgenstern and Induced Preferences," by David M. Kreps and I van L. Porteus Values for Games Welbout Sudepsyments. Some Delivatives With Current Concepts," by Alvin F. Reith. Machinghes and the Valuation of Redundant Assets," by J. Machinet Harrison and David M. Kreps. e Modelling and Maney - Income Causality Detection," by Cheng Hisao ent of Deadweight Loss Revisated," by W. F. Diewert
- "de Assembr Aground to the Efficiency of Non-Cooperaine Squiddroom or bencomes with a Continuum of Indexs" by A MacCodell Tables of the Sea Editional brackets of the Internation of Non-Cooperaine Season, "by T. W. Andress and Tablestic Season, Season," by T. W. Andress and Tablestic Season, Season, "by T. W. Andress and Tablestic Season," Appropriate Expansions of the Distributions of Extendions in a Linear Functional Relationship when the Sample Size is Later. In e Modeling of Canadian Money and Income Data," by Cheng Huao Paths. Goods and Power," by R. J. Aumana, M. Kurz and A. Neyman.
 - A Time Series Analysis of the Empact of Caradian Wape and Price Controls," by Cheng Hsan and Oluwatayo Eaktyesi dibraum k.conometrics in Samultaneous Equations Systems," by C. Gourierous, J. J. Lallont and A. Montors ngree Forever," by Julin D. Granakoptor and Herakhi Polemarchakis Constrained Evera Demand Functions," by Hertits M. Polemarchalm. "On the Bayesses Selection of Nash Equidorson," by Aktra Fornola. Dustiny Approaches to Microaconomic Theory," by W. F. Diewert "A Strategic Theory of laffation," by Mordoust Kurz. We Carl De
 - tion Problem Arang in Economics. Approximate Solutions, Linearly, and a Law of Large Numbers," by Sergia Harr Asymptonic Expansions of the Distributions of the Estimates of Coefficients in a Simultaneous Equation System," by Yasuneor spikosin, Ramo Mortmann, Nacto Russtonio and Mauneobu Tampucht. Time Series Modelling and Cassal Ordering of Canadian Minney, Income and Interest Rate," by Cheng History "On the Method of Taxation and the Provision of Local Public Goods," by David A. Starrett s of Vector Mezaste Games in pNA." by You Louman Optional & Voluntary Income Distribution," by K. J. Arrow. Hots, Values of Mixed Games," by Abraham Neyman
- Cakulation of Broarns Normal Integrals by the Use of Incomplete Negative-Order Moments," by Kes Lakes, hi and Akimichi Lakemura On Parintenium of a Sample with Brany-Type Ouestions in Leas of Collections (Collections in Leas of Collections (Collections on March School) Improveng the Maximum Libethand Estimate in Linear Functional Relationships for Afternative Parameter Sequence. By Kimio Bertingore, Easterno. As Analysis of Potres in Psychaeps Psympies," by Martin J. Objective Estimation of the Resignocal of a Normal Mean," by Asad Zaman
- Asymptotic Expansions of the Distributions of the Test Statistics for Overidentitying Restrictions in a System of Simultaneous of General Engineers (Mormone, and Fooksida) "Mainparand Securities and the Fifturent Albucation of Righ. A Comment on the Black Scholes Option Pitting Model. In David M. Kieps. Asymptotic Expansion of the Destribution of k-Class Estimators when the Disturbances are Small," by Saiste Kuntomo-tomic Monimies, and Yoshibilis Foshinda Directional and Local Flectorate Competitions with Probabilistic Voting." by Peter Coughlin and Shmuel Nitzan incomplete Markets and the Observability of Risk Preference Properties." by H. H. Polemarchako and L. Seiden Mag Expectations and Hart's Conditions for Equilibrium in a Securities Model," by Peter 1. Hammond Electronal Outcomes and Social Log-Likelibood Maxima." by Peter Couphin and Shmuel Nitzan The I'vo Stage Least Abushure Deviations Estimators," by Lakeshi Amemiya. Three Eugss on Capital Markers," by Borid M. Reeps. Notes on Social Cheare and Voling." by Peter Coughlin Software Montron Programs." by Michael J. P. Magriff
- "An Introduction to Two-Person Zoro Sum Repeated Games with Incomplete Information," by Sylvain Svinn "Estimation of Dynamic Models with Error Components," by T. W. Anderson and Cheng Hsiao.
 "On Robust Estimation in Certainty Equivalence Control," by Anders H. Westlund and Hans Stenland. Identification." by Cheng Huller

Pareto Optimul Nash Equilibria are Competitive in a Repeated Economy." by Mordecal Kurz and Seiziu Harr

Arbitrage and Equalibrium in Economics with Intimitely Mans Commodities," by David W. Kreps

syment Equilibrium in an Economy with Linked Price," hy Morder at Kurz

- "Cost Benefit Analysis and Project Evaluation from the Viewpoint of Productive Efficiency," by W. F. Diewert "On the Cham-Store Paradox and Predation Reputation for Toughness," by D. W. Kreps, and Robert Wilson "On the Number of Commodities Required to Represent a Market Games," Seigiu Hart "On Industry Equilibrium Under Uncertainty," by J. Dreze and E. Sheshinski.
- "A Comparison of the Logit Model and Normal Discriminant Analysis when the Independent Variables are Bours "
 by Taketh Ameriya and James L. Powell "Evaluation of the Distribution Function of the Limited Information Maximum Likelihood Estimated "by Anderson, Saoto Kumtomo, and Takamitsu Sawa.

"A Comparison of the Box Cox Maximum Likelihood Estimator and the Nonlinear Two Stage Least Squares Estimator," by Takehi Ameriya and James L. Powell. "Efficiency of Resource Allocation by Uninformed Demand," by Theodore Groves and Sergiu Harr

32.55

- "Comparison of the Densities of the TSLS and LIMLK Estimators for Simultaneous Equations," by J. W. Anderson. Nacto Kunitoms, and Takanussu Sawa. 123
 - Admissibility of the Bayes Procedure Corresponding to the Uniform Prox Distribution for the Control Problem in Four Dimensions but Not in Five," by Charles Stein and Asad Zaman. 324
 - "Some Recent Developments on the Distributions of Single-Equation Estimators," by T. W. Anderwan
 - On Inflation," by Frank Hahn, 325.
- Two Papers on Majority Rule "Continuity Properties of Majority Rule with Intermediate Preferences." by Peter Kumphin Lin and "Electroal Outcomes with Probabilistic Voting and Nash Social Welfare Majuria." by Peter Couphin and Simuel Mistan. On the Endopenous Formation of Coalitions," by Sergiu Harr and Mordecal Kure
 - On Westare Economics with Incomplete Information and the Social Value of Public Information," by Peter J. Hammand Paradoxical Results from Inada's Conditions for Majority Rule." by Herre Raynaud "Controlability, Pecuniary Externalities and Optimal Taxation," by David Starrett Equitibrium Policy Proposals with Abstentions," by Peter J. Coughlin. Nonlinear Regression Models," by Takeshi Amemya. **翻放玻璃器装成装置的 医放射性**
- The Life-Cycle Hypothess and the Effects of Social Security and Private Pensions on Family Savings." by Motdecial Kurz "Optimal Retirement Age." by Mordecai Kurz.

Infinite Excessive and Invariant Measures," by Michael I. Taksar

The Social Costs of Monopoly and Regulation A Game Theoretic Analysis," by William P. Rugeraon Bayestan Incentive Compatible Behefs," by Claude d'Asprement and Louis-Andre Gerard-Varet. Qualitative Response Models - A Survey ... by Takeshi Amemiya

Sequential Equilibra," by David M. Kreps and Robert Wilson

- Ex-Post Optimality as a Dynamically Consistent Objective for Collective Choice Under Undertainty." by Peter Hammand Formulation and Estimation of Dynamic Models Using Panel Data." by T. W. Anderson and Cheng Haux. "Three Lectures in Monetary Theory," by Frank H. Hahn Enhancing of Semgroups," by Michael I. Taksar
 - Socially Optimal Investment Rules in the Prevence of Incomplete Markets and Other Second Best Distortions," by Frank Milne and David A. Starrett. Approximate Punfication of Mixed Strategies," by Robert Aumann, Yitzhak Katznelson, Rivy Radnet, Robert W. Rosenthal, and Benjaman Wess

\$

- "Conditions for Transativity of Majority Rule with Algorithmic Interpretations," by Herve Raynaud How Restrictive Actually are the Value Restriction Conditions," by Herre Raynaud
- Cournet Duopoly in the Style of Fulfilled Expectations Equilibrium." by William Norshek and Hugh Somnemuhein "Law of Large Numbers for Random Sets and Allocation Processes," by Zvi Aristein and Sergia Hari
- Social and Private Production Objectives in the Sequence Economy," by David Starrett Predation, Reputation, and Entry Deterrence." by Paul Milgrom and John Roberts Shrunken Predictors for Autoregressive Models," by Taku Yamanioto

'Risk Perception in Psychology and Economics," by Kenneth J. Arrow.

- "Least Absolute Deviations Estimation for Cemored and Truncated Regression Models," by James Powell Relatively Recursive Rational Choice," by Alain Lewis "Recursive Rational Choice," by Alam Lewis
 - "A Theory of Auctions and Competitive Bidding." by Paul Milgrom and Robert Weber Facing an Uncertain Future," by W. M. Gorman

"The Indindual Freedom Allowed by the Value Restriction Condition," by Herve Raynaud

- "The Computative Dynamics of Efficient Programs of Capital Accumulation and Resource Depletion," by W. F. Diewert and T. R. Lewis. Incomplete Resource Allocation Mechanisms," by P. J. Hammond
- "Capital Accumulation and the Characteristics of Private Intergenerational Transfers," by M. Kurz Optimum Pricing Policy Under Stochastic Inflativin," by E. Sheshinski and Y. Wens.
- Asymptotic Efficiency and Higher Order. Efficiency of the Limited Information Maximum Likelihood Estimator in Large Econometric Models, " by N. Kunitomo 3 3 3
- "Semi-Values of Political Economic Games," by A. Neyman
- Partially Generalized Least Squares and Two-Stage Least Squares and Two-Stage Least Squares Estimators," by T. Amemya. "Non-Zero-Sum Two-Person Repeated Games with Incomplete Information," by S. Hart
- "Lipschitz Properties of Solution in Mathematical Programming." by B. Corner and G. Laroque Multiple Hypothesis Testing," by N. E. Savin \$ 5 \$ 3 B E E E E

"Team Theory and Decentralized Resource Allocation An Example" by K. J. Arrow

- Asymptotic Bias of the Least Squares Estimator for Multivariate Autoregressive Wisdek, 18, 1, 3 amanote and N Kantonie The Asymptotic Normality of Two Stage Least Absolute Deviations Estimators Thy J. Bowell. Texting for Unit Roots 2. by G. B. A. and Fvans and N. L. Savin
 - Rational Cooperation in the Finitely Repeated Prisoner's Dhemma " by D. Kreps, P. Milkrom, J., Roberts, and R. Milson.

Reports in this Series

- 376. "Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Single-Peakedness Along a Linearly Ordered Set of Policy Alternatives" by P.J. Coughlin and M.J. Hinich.
- 377. "The Role of Reputation in a Regeated Agency Problem Involving Information Transmission" by W. P. Rogerson.
- 378. "Unemployment Equilibrium with Stochastic Rationing of Supplies" by Ho-mou Wu.
- 379. "Optimal Price and Income Regulation Under Uncertainty in the Model with One Producer" by M. I. Taksar.
- 380. "On the NTU Value" by Robert J. Aumann.
- 381. "Best Invariant Estimation of a Direction Parameter with Application to Linear Functional Relationships and Factor Analysis" by T. W. Anderson, C. Stein and A. Zaman.
- 382. "Informational Equilibrium" by Robert Kast.
- 383. "Cooperative Oligopoly Equilibrium" by Mordecai Kurz.
- 384. "Reputation and Product Quality" by William P. Rogerson.
- 385. "Auditing: Perspectives from Multiperson Decision Theory" By Robert Wilson.
- 386. "Capacity Pricing" by Oren, Smith and Wilson.
- 387. "Consequentialism and Rationality in Dynamic Choice Under Uncertainty" by P.J. Hammond.
- 388. "The Structure of Wage Contracts in Repeated Agency Models" by W. P. Rogerson.
- 389. "1982 Abraham Wald Memorial Lectures, Estimating Linear Statistical Relationships by T.W. Anderson.
- 390. "Aggregates, Activities and Overheads" by W.M. Gorman.
- 391. "Double Auctions" by Robert Wilson.
- 392. "Efficiency and Fairness in the Design of Bilateral Contracts" by S. Honkapohja.
- 393. "Diagonality of Cost Allocation Prices" by L.J. Mirman and A. Neyman
- 394. "General Asset Markets, Private Capital Formation, and the Existence of Temporary Walrasian Equilibrium" by P.J. Hammond
- 395. "Asymptotic Normality of the Censored and Truncated Least Absolute Deviations Estimators" by J.L. Powell
- 396. "Dominance-Solvability and Cournot Stability" by Herve Moulin
- 397. "Managerial Incentives, Investment and Aggregate Implications" by B. Holmstrom and L. Weiss

Reports in this Series

- "Generalizations of the Censored and Truncated Least Absolute Deviations Estimators" by J.L. Powell.
- 399. "Behavior Under Uncertainty and its Implications for Policy" by K.J. Arrow.
- 400. "Third-Order Efficiency of the Extended Maximum Likelihood Estimators in a Simultaneous Equation System" by K. Takeuchi and K. Morimune.
- 401. "Short-Run Analysis of Fiscal Policy in a Simple Perfect Foresight Model" by K. Judd.
- 402. "Estimation of Failure Rate From A Complete Record of Failures and a Partial Record of Non-Failures" by K. Suzuki.
- 403. "Applications of Semi-Regenerative Theory to Computations of Stationary Distributions of Markov Chains" by W.K. Grassmann and M.I. Taksar.
- 404. "On the Optimality of Individual Behavior in First Come Last Served Queues With Preemption and Balking" by Refael Hassin.
- 405. "Entry with Exit: An Extensive Form Treatment of Predation with Financial Constraints" by J.P. Benoit.
- 406. "Search Among Queues" by A. Glazer and R. Hassin
- 407. "The Space of Polynomials in Measures is Internal" by J. Reichers and Y. Tauman.
- 408. "Planning Under Incomplete Information and the Ratchet Effect" by X. Freixas, R. Guesnerie and J. Tirole.
- 409. "A Theory of Dynamic Oligopoly, I: Overview and Quantity Competition with Large Fixed Costs" by Eric Maskin and Jean Tirole.
- 410. "Approximate Measures of the Social Welfare Benefits of Labor Projects" by Peter Hammond
- 411. "Transversality Conditions for Some Infinite Horizon Discrete Time Optimization Problems" by Ivar Ekeland and Jose A. Scheinkman.
- 412. "Asymptotic Efficiency of the Partial Likelihood Estimator in the Proportional Hazard Model" by Aaron K. Hahn.
- 413. "A Monte Carlo Comparison of Estimators for Censored Regression Models" by Harry J. Paarsch.
- 414. "Instrumental-Variable Estimation of an Error-Components Model" by Takeshi Amemiya and Thomas E. MaCurdy.
- 415. "An Axiomatization of the Non-Transferable Utility Value" by Robert J. Aumann.
- 416. "Diffusion Approximation in Arrow's Model of Exhaustable Resources" by Darrell Duffie and Michael Taksar.

Reports in this Series

- 417. "The Shapley Value in the Non Differentiable Case" by Jean François Mertens.
- 418. "The Minimax Theorem for U.S.C.-L.S.C. Payoff Functions" by Jean François Mertens.

